

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 93 10:30:52 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #312
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Fri, 12 Mar 93 Volume 93 : Issue 312

Today's Topics:

 1296 Repeater
 Alternator Wine - Applications
 Any experience with 8873 (conduction cooled triode)?
 Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 11 March
 DOPPLER DF PCB SOURCE FOUND
 Motorola Radios Are/Were Tough
 ORBS\$072.2liners
Too many C-64s [was: Re: Knwd TS-440 Computer Cntrl Opt, anyone have experience?]
 TOWER question: conducting vs. non-conducting guys?
 Uniden reply comments to FCC docket 93-01
 VHF Car Antenna: 1/2 or 1/4 wave??
 Where do the MODS live for HTs?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 12 Mar 1993 17:55:12 GMT
From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: 1296 Repeater
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Here in San Diego, we've built a number of 1.2 repeaters by using the
exciter and receiver from a GE Exec II highband (150 MHz) radio and one
of the DownEast Microwave converters, followed by a couple of power
bricks. The Exec radios were free; the converter is about \$150, and
the power bricks are about \$200. About \$500 total cost, plus a chunk of
labor to get it all assembled.

In Orange County, a friend of mine has built a 1.2 repeater by buying two of the 1.2 modules for a Kenwood 741 radio and adding a control port on his microprocessor control system that sets the frequencies in the modules. He says it works quite well, for about \$1000 total cost.

- Brian

Date: 12 Mar 93 16:20:22 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Alternator Wine - Applications
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

The new CIS's first foray into capitalism will begin next month with the announcement on 4/1 of the first new product from the newly formed, Moscow-based auto maker Knishmobile, Ltd.

The new world-class auto is a completely new design - the result of years of market research into the decadent West and will be targeted at the emerging Russian yuppie class.

It is called the Perogie and a free lifetime supply of alternator wine will come with each car (the body is new but the engine and noisy electrical system have been recycled from the 1956 vintage T-35 tank. Hey, you can't become world-class overnight, you know! This is the Russian version of the "peace dividend").

In any case, all of our Jewish amateurs will soon be celebrating the Passover holiday with everyone's preferred brand of alternator wine - Manishhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhewitz. All others are invited to substitute the Beveridge (correct spelling) of their choice.

I never met a ham I didn't like - but I wouldn't want to eat him!

Alternator wine is the perfect accompaniment to pizza with EXTRA cheese. No class at all in that one! But an added bonus is that the pan makes a perfect circular radial system for your VHF whip antenna. But this raises yet another slippery question for all you hard-boiled types - NMO, magnetic, or lip mount? If the latter, make sure you don't get burned. In any case, do a good job with it and you'll for sure be able to drink alternator wine and talk (to) Turkey! Should give you a perfect, low angle, pie-shaped pattern - Happy DXing!

I should have substituted the correct country prefix on that one but I don't have a list handy. Besides, don't want to make it TOO funny.

If this corny (oops, can't seem to avoid food puns) post has qualified me

for an automated listserv mandatory UNSUBSCRIBE, you won't hear me whine about it. I'll just QSY to another QTH where the QRM and QRM have gone QRT but I'll be back on and off for some more QSB, by gum!

73 to all you FB folks out there, and Don K6LTS, too.

Kalman WD6CZI

Date: Thu, 11 Mar 93 19:46:46 EST
From: tarpit!fang!gator!towers!bluemoon!gerry@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Any experience with 8873 (conduction cooled triode)?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

rdewan@casbah.acns.nwu.edu (Rajiv Dewan) writes:

> Leafing through the QST from the 70's, I noticed the 8873 tube
> from Eimac. About 500 W plate dissipation and *conduction cooled*.
>
> I would appreciate hearing from you if you used these or have
> heard interesting stories about them.
>
> Rajiv
> aa9ch
> Address: r-dewan@nwu.edu
> Phone: None. Only CW.

I have a Henry K-2000, which is a fair imitation of the Handbook amplifier. The tubes have never given any trouble. It has not been heavily used, but hasn't been pampered either. It can be driven with any nominal 100 watt xceiver. GL and 73

gerry@bluemoon My amateur radio callsign is K8EF, and my
packet radio address is K8EF@W8CQK.OH.USA.NA

Date: 12 Mar 93 16:49:20 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Daily Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for 11 March
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 070, 03/11/93
10.7 FLUX=150 90-AVG=137 SSN=111 BKI=2234 4654 BAI=029
BGND-XRAY=B6.2 FLU1=6.9E+06 FLU10=1.7E+04 PKI=3235 5654 PAI=032
BOU-DEV=014,011,026,058,069,132,096,050 DEV-AVG=057 NT SWF=02:019
XRAY-MAX= M7.3 @ 2202UT XRAY-MIN= B4.5 @ 0027UT XRAY-AVG= C2.7

NEUTN-MAX= +002% @ 2350UT NEUTN-MIN= -003% @ 1310UT NEUTN-AVG= -0.2%
PCA-MAX= +0.1DB @ 1955UT PCA-MIN= -0.3DB @ 0310UT PCA-AVG= -0.0DB
BOUTF-MAX=55414NT @ 0946UT BOUTF-MIN=55340NT @ 1705UT BOUTF-AVG=55391NT
GOES7-MAX=P:+134NT@ 2019UT GOES7-MIN=E:-024NT@ 1821UT G7-AVG=+076,+038,+010
GOES6-MAX=P:+141NT@ 1609UT GOES6-MIN=N:-089NT@ 0655UT G6-AVG=+089,-002,-050
FLUXFCST=STD:160,165,165;SESC:160,165,165 BAI/PAI-FCST=010,010,020/015,025,030
KFCST=4444 2111 1112 2333 27DAY-AP=009,008 27DAY-KP=3323 2222 3222 3222
WARNINGS=*MAJFLR;*SWF;*PROTON;*PCA
ALERTS=**MAJFLR:M7.3/2B@N15E74(RGN7448),2152-2202-2229,II=3;
**245STRM:0001-2359UTC
!!END-DATA!!

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 1993 15:19:48 GMT
From: netcomsv!netcom.com!mont@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: DOPPLER DF PCB SOURCE FOUND
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

If anyones interested, I've found a Dobbler DF board supplier. A few friends have purchased it and are building it. The board is of very good quality from what I've seen. I haven't bought one, waiting for the next paycheck.

The board was designed by a local df group. They were supplying it but buyers' demands grew larger then they could handle.

The new supplier is:

Valid Link
1807 Pruneridge Ave., Suite A
Santa Clara, CA. 95050

Phone: (408) 247-9877

Price: \$25 + \$4 shipping/handling, plus CA tax if you live here.
(last time I checked)

BTW- Mention my name, Mont Pierce - KM6WT. Maybe if enough of you suggest it to them, I could get a freebe...:) :)

73,

--

Mont Pierce

+-----+
| Ham Call: KM6WT Internet: mont@netcom.com |
| bands: 80/40/20/2 IBM vnet: mont@vnet.ibm.com |

| modes: cw,ssb,fm |
| qth: Fremont, CA Religion: Jehovah's Witnesses 9/72 |
+-----+

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 1993 16:45:59 GMT
From: usc!cs.utexas.edu!utnut!torn!csd.unb.ca!unbham@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: Motorola Radios Are/Were Tough
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I used to work with the local volunteer fire dept. and around the 2nd week of May, we would have almost 20 grass fires a day. While at one grass fire, I lost my Minitor II pager which was clipped onto my coveralls. After the fire was out I realized it was gone, but could not find it anywhere. The landowner approached us with a burning blob of melted plastic on the end of a shovel. It was my pager. Amazingly enough, after it had cooled for 10 min. , it rang out with the next fire call which was being paged. Didn't sound too hot, but it worked!!

Don Trynor (VE1ARZ)
UNBHAM@JUPITER.SUN.CSD.UNB.CA

Date: 13 Mar 93 16:59:22 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: ORBS\$072.2liners
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SB KEPS @ AMSAT \$ORBS-072.N
2Line Orbital Elements 072.AMSAT

HR AMSAT ORBITAL ELEMENTS FOR AMATEUR SATELLITES IN NASA FORMAT
FROM N3FKV HEWITT, TX March 13, 1993
BID: \$ORBS-072.N

DECODE 2-LINE ELSETS WITH THE FOLLOWING KEY:
1 AAAAAU 00 0 0 BBBB.BBBBBBBB .CCCCCCC 00000-0 00000-0 0 DDDZ
2 AAAAA EEE.EEEE FFF.FFFF GGGGGGG HHH.HHHH III.IIII JJ.JJJJJJJKKKKKZ
KEY: A-CATALOGNUM B-EPOCHTIME C-DECAY D-ELSETNUM E-INCLINATION F-RAAN
G-ECCENTRICITY H-ARGPERIGEE I-MNANOM J-MNMOTION K-ORBITNUM Z-CHECKSUM

TO ALL RADIO AMATEURS BT

AO-10
1 14129U 83 58 B 93070.03460387 .000000008 00000-0 99999-4 0 9763

2 14129 27.0798 35.8884 6015780 64.2316 344.8102 2.05877731 73245
 U0-11
 1 14781U 84 21 B 93070.55132544 .00000723 00000-0 13144-3 0 4043
 2 14781 97.8223 101.2359 00111148 315.8727 44.1592 14.68893547482388
 RS-10/11
 1 18129U 87 54 A 93071.11221865 .00000097 00000-0 99999-4 0 5734
 2 18129 82.9264 310.3721 0010313 224.8969 135.1344 13.72310562286534
 A0-13
 1 19216U 88 51 B 93069.78120669 -.00000277 00000-0 99999-4 0 5708
 2 19216 57.6291 328.4346 7256268 310.2193 6.2583 2.09727942 36292
 F0-20
 1 20480U 90 13 C 93068.60717966 -.00000000 00000-0 28009-4 0 4400
 2 20480 99.0574 303.5941 0540538 312.3140 43.3331 12.83218168144549
 A0-21
 1 21087U 91 6 A 93070.65017752 .00000101 00000-0 99999-4 0 7164
 2 21087 82.9426 125.0197 0034163 295.1572 64.6038 13.74511303106040
 RS-12/13
 1 21089U 91 7 A 93065.88141644 .00000047 00000-0 43465-4 0 3953
 2 21089 82.9214 357.9810 0030108 331.5750 28.3761 13.74015537104476
 U0-14
 1 20437U 90 5 B 93069.74954765 .00000134 00000-0 60132-4 0 7288
 2 20437 98.6217 155.1084 0011492 111.7754 248.4655 14.29743508163364
 A0-16
 1 20439U 90 5 D 93063.24566247 .00000140 00000-0 62234-4 0 5463
 2 20439 98.6249 149.4632 0011636 128.4635 231.7594 14.29800952162441
 D0-17
 1 20440U 90 5 E 93070.73212968 .00000179 00000-0 77347-4 0 5491
 2 20440 98.6286 157.0647 0011956 109.9364 250.3112 14.29938111163525
 W0-18
 1 20441U 90 5 F 93070.21050427 .00000171 00000-0 73924-4 0 5517
 2 20441 98.6280 156.5818 0013006 109.2043 251.0555 14.29920457163454
 L0-19
 1 20442U 90 5 G 93071.19804869 .00000168 00000-0 72980-4 0 5486
 2 20442 98.6293 157.7203 0012398 107.0255 253.2306 14.30009268163609
 U0-22
 1 21575U 91 50 B 93069.21924511 .00000212 00000-0 78753-4 0 2461
 2 21575 98.4836 146.8998 0007281 236.3544 123.6951 14.36788028 86457
 K0-23
 1 22077U 92 52 B 93006.08586143 -.00000000 00000-0 99999-4 0 866
 2 22077 66.0809 303.5860 0013347 229.3565 130.6278 12.86275910 18999
 NOAA-9
 1 15427U 84123 A 93070.60700684 .00000153 00000-0 91509-4 0 3167
 2 15427 99.1118 109.0505 0015773 83.5482 276.7480 14.13489047424996
 NOAA-10
 1 16969U 86 73 A 93070.73707080 .00000251 00000-0 12342-3 0 1621
 2 16969 98.5221 88.6178 0012295 239.3583 120.6382 14.24775826336752
 MET-2/17
 1 18820U 88 5 A 93068.61562166 .00000057 00000-0 45284-4 0 8559

2 18820 82.5401 278.1169 0018014 47.6582 312.6101 13.84675689258063
 MET-3/2
 1 19336U 88 64 A 93068.66774683 .00000044 00000-0 99999-4 0 258
 2 19336 82.5427 292.3828 0018056 347.8077 12.2606 13.16956018222117
 NOAA-11
 1 19531U 88 89 A 93070.93714247 .00000327 00000-0 19649-3 0 678
 2 19531 99.1204 44.8589 0012039 356.7880 3.3093 14.12840248229944
 MET-2/18
 1 19851U 89 18 A 93065.30158833 .00000099 00000-0 83012-4 0 7976
 2 19851 82.5221 156.9457 0015577 97.2632 263.0299 13.84323502202957
 MET-3/3
 1 20305U 89 86 A 93070.49720679 .00000043 00000-0 99999-4 0 6997
 2 20305 82.5457 233.7672 0016808 2.2448 357.8746 13.16009502162259
 MET-2/19
 1 20670U 90 57 A 93066.84524313 .00000059 00000-0 47871-4 0 5485
 2 20670 82.5436 218.8600 0016839 18.6084 341.5690 13.84162627136103
 FY-1/2
 1 20788U 90 81 A 93065.79853315 .00000182 00000-0 14351-3 0 5283
 2 20788 98.8709 95.0212 0013635 227.1094 132.8936 14.01279193128246
 MET-2/20
 1 20826U 90 86 A 93066.20834013 .00000104 00000-0 89306-4 0 5537
 2 20826 82.5271 157.5213 0012115 280.6262 79.3545 13.83537127123183
 MET-3/4
 1 21232U 91 30 A 93069.26985156 .00000043 00000-0 99999-4 0 3510
 2 21232 82.5486 137.6962 0017394 279.9095 80.0063 13.16821757 90304
 NOAA-12
 1 21263U 91 32 A 93070.80172638 .00000350 00000-0 17506-3 0 5225
 2 21263 98.6674 102.5932 0013574 133.3761 226.8548 14.22215020 94807
 MET-3/5
 1 21655U 91 56 A 93069.50119942 .00000044 00000-0 99999-4 0 4079
 2 21655 82.5496 84.2191 0012396 279.3909 80.5678 13.16814026 75435
 MIR
 1 16609U 86 17 A 93071.36410459 .00025269 00000-0 31433-3 0 9324
 2 16609 51.6219 345.5680 0002409 120.8834 239.2635 15.59788436404037
 HUBBLE
 1 20580U 90 37 B 93071.13255183 .00003344 00000-0 30430-3 0 529
 2 20580 28.4702 329.6413 0004606 108.7276 251.3834 14.92440263157009
 GRO
 1 21225U 91 27 B 93070.66224332 .00044046 00000-0 34280-3 0 8399
 2 21225 28.4496 286.8479 0005651 140.2221 219.8776 15.70247193109885
 TUBSAT
 1 21577U 91 50 D 93070.21560298 .00000161 00000-0 61995-4 0 2466
 2 21577 98.4839 147.5262 0006122 232.5445 127.5188 14.36347123 86570
 SARA
 1 21578U 91 50 E 93070.64138128 .00000950 00000-0 32565-3 0 4117
 2 21578 98.4882 149.2065 0004848 229.4894 130.6018 14.38277233 86703
 UARS
 1 21701U 91 63 B 93057.74061066 .00002260 00000-0 21745-3 0 2405

2 21701 56.9824 264.1902 0005133 86.3220 273.8400 14.96649214 79763
FREJA
1 22161U 92 64 A 93067.23226300 .00000268 00000-0 17507-3 0 1177
2 22161 63.0062 30.9595 0770766 273.8897 77.4456 13.21594436 20215
/EX

Date: 12 Mar 93 15:49:18 GMT
From: netcomsv!apple!catnip!bandy@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Too many C-64s [was: Re: Knwd TS-440 Computer Cntrl Opt, anyone have
experience?]
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jweiss@casbah.acns.nwu.edu (Jerry Weiss) writes:

>Why [the whizzy RS232 i/f chip] wasn't built into the IC-10x is a
>mystery to me. Perhaps kenwood thinks we are all using Vic-20's.

There certainly seems to be enough of them, even among the packet
crowd. This leads to XT boxes going for \$300+, even here in
Silicon Valley.

I have even witnessed computer-literate extra-class hams using C-64s
"because they are cheap".

Date: 12 Mar 93 16:47:00 GMT
From: usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!ub!acsu.buffalo.edu!ubvmsb.cc.buffalo.edu!
oopdavid@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: TOWER question: conducting vs. non-conducting guys?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Mar12.143223.12529@VFL.Paramax.COM>, rossi@gvlf9-q.gvl.unisys.com
(Pete Rossi) writes...

>Later this spring I hope to re-install the tower that I had up at my
>parents house some 20 years ago (60 feet of Rohn 25). In its previous
>location it had simple 2-level 3-way guying with 3/16 inch cable with
>*no* insulators. Never had any problems. Everything worked great.
>

>Now I am wondering if I should be considering using this (new) non-conducting
>guy cable that is available. It would cost roughly 2.5 X more.
>

>The thought of having 60 foot tower with no metallic guy wires sounds
>appealing (loading the tower for 80m and being able to hang other wire
>antennas from the tower without having to worry about guy wire interaction)
>but I am wondering if it is really worth the extra cost since I never had

>any problems in the past.

>

>Are there any hidden problems with using non-conducting guys? Is that
>really the way to go? How hard are they to work with/install?

I have two towers guyed with Phyllistran. It can be cut with a knife
and that is a small security risk.

>

>=====

>Pete Rossi - WA3NNA ross@VFL.Paramax.COM

>

>Paramax Systems Corporation - a Unisys Company

>Valley Forge Engineering Center - Paoli, Pennsylvania

>=====

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 1993 14:58:09 GMT

From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!paladin.american.edu!darwin.sura.net!

rsg1.er.usgs.gov!resdgs1.er.usgs.gov!tbodoh@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Uniden reply comments to FCC docket 93-01

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <rec-radio-info731904502@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>,

whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h) writes:

|> Here's a set of reply comments that make reference to some "interesting"
|> comments/requests by other spectrum users besides the cellular phone
|> providers. If anyone has any other replies on this docket, I'm sure
|> we'd all like to see what the industry response has been to the cellular
|> scan banner Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) of the FCC.

|>

|> CONCLUSION

|>

|> 7. Uniden reiterates its support of the Commission's
|> proposal. As stated in its comments, the only exception to
|> the NPRM as written is with the provisions for frequency
|> converters as mentioned above as well as in our original
|> comment.

|>

|>

|> Respectfully submitted

|>

|> /signature/

|>

|> James R. Haynes

|> Chief Engineer

|>

|> UNIDEN AMERICA CORPORATION

|> Engineering Services Office
|> 8707 North by Northeast Blvd.
|> Fishers, Indiana 46038
|>
|>

--

Given that Uniden is a major player in both the scanner and cellular marketplace, there are some interesting implications here. I wonder if there weren't some HOT meetings at Uniden or was the decision obvious based on which division makes more money? Uniden is basically saying that they'll stand the chance of taking it in the shorts in their scanner division in order to be able to LIE to their cellular customers when they tell them that their privacy is being looked after.

This law will change nothing in regards to cellular monitoring. Those that want to will, using existing scanners or continuing to 'tinker'. Within a very few years most or all cellular calls will be digital and the problem will solve itself. Seems to me that the cellular industry is missing a golden opportunity here - seems like they'd have a stronger selling position for 'new improved security digital cellular' if it was well known that the current system is easily monitored. Boy they sure blew it!

++++
+ Tom Bodoh - Sr. systems software engineer
+
+ USGS/EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA 57198 (605) 594-6830 +
+ Internet; bodoh@dgg.cr.usgs.gov (152.61.192.66)
+
+ "Welcome back my friends to the show that never ends!" EL&P
+
++++

Date: 12 Mar 93 15:46:00 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: VHF Car Antenna: 1/2 or 1/4 wave??
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Paul, VE1POL writes:

> So my question is: Is there a BIG difference between a 1/2 wave and
> a 1/4 wave car antenna?
>

You don't mention where your repeaters are. The 1/4 wave is fine if the repeaters are on mountaintops because of the higher angle of radiation. The 5/8 wave (and I assume the 1/2 wave) antenna has a lower angle of radiation and would be desirable if your

repeaters were lower. I have used both very successfully with
a 2 1/2 watt handheld...most of the repeaters I access are on
top of mountains.

73,

Linda Stocks, AA6MR

polso@ais.ucla.edu

aa6mr@wb6wfh#soca.ca.usa

Eschew obfuscation

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 1993 17:14:00 GMT

From: usc!howland.reston.ans.net!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!

hobbes.physics.uiowa.edu!news.uiowa.edu!news.weeg.uiowa.edu!vaxa.weeg.uiowa.edu!

gcohen@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Where do the MODS live for HTs?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I know that this is an FAQ, and I even tried requesting "SENDME DJ160" and
"HELP" from the pcserver@novell.business.uwo.ca that is listed in the FAQ,
but since this hasn't answered me after two days, can someone help me out
by pointing me in the right direction for locating where the modification
directions exist. It is slightly embarrassing when your friends have faith
in you that you can find 'almost anything' and when you go to the official
'unofficial' source for such things, you come up completely dry.

Thanks

gc

NORWZ

Date: 12 Mar 1993 18:03:44 GMT

From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <C3n9x9.Ayu@bcstec.ca.boeing.com>, <1no0f8INN9i@network.ucsd.edu>,
<1993Mar11.233304.25101@ncsu.edu>

Subject : Re: Just for fun . . . someone's screw up

djbarnes@eos.ncsu.edu (DONALD JAMES BARNES) writes:

> brian said:

>> Seems to me the more appropriate thing to do is to get a regulation

>> passed that requires a 5 minute maximum transmission length timer in

>> the radio!

>Then what happens.....you can't talk anymore? Or do you just hit the button

>again and keep at it?

Yes. The transmission limit timer in my surplus Motorola mobile radios (now on the ham bands) is set to 1 minute. If you keep the microphone keyed for longer than that time (like when it gets wedged into the seat cushion), it drops the transmitter and sounds a loud tone in the receiver speaker. If I happen to be in the middle of a long rant when that occurs, I just unkey and rekey. I think that has happened to me perhaps three or four times in the two+ years I've owned those radios.

I'd be surprised if any single non-ham two-way-service transmission exceeds 3 minutes more than once or twice a week.

Hams, of course, tend to prop a brick on the mic button and recite their entire life story.

- Brian

Date: 12 Mar 1993 18:15:56 GMT
From: ucsd.edu!brian@network.UCSD.EDU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Mar10.004952.19298@leland.Stanford.EDU>,
<1993Mar10.045435.23145@news.acns.nwu.edu>, <6150@catnip.berkeley.ca.us>
Subject : Re: Too many C-64s [was: Re: Knwd TS-440 Computer Cntrl Opt, anyone have experience?]

jweiss@casbah.acns.nwu.edu (Jerry Weiss) writes:
>Why [the whizzy RS232 i/f chip] wasn't built into the IC-10x is a
>mystery to me. Perhaps kenwood thinks we are all using Vic-20's.

My theory on this is that it had to do with Part 15 FCC certification. It's required that the "computing device" meet specs with all of its normal accessories attached. If the digital port is designed to operate at RS232, it will be connected to terminals, computers, who-knows-what. It would need to be engineered to meet Part 15 with just about anything connected to that port.

But if it it were to be designed to be connected ONLY to an external device which incorporated all the RFI filtering, as the Kenwood is, only the combination would need to be certified - a much simple engineering job. Plus, they'd be able to make it meet spec in any country by fiddling the external box, since only THEIR box would be attached.

On the other hand, the cumzouta and guzinta are just TTL, so perhaps Kenwood was planning a follow-on product that just never happened.

- Brian

Date: Fri, 12 Mar 1993 18:02:17 GMT
From: usc!news.service.uci.edu!ttinews!calvin.tti.com!cole@network.UCSD.EDU
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <jzjt6=f@dixie.com>, <cole.109.731876607@soldev.tti.com>,
<2xktrgr@dixie.com>
Subject : Re: Ham Radio Outlet incident

In article <2xktrgr@dixie.com> jgd@dixie.com (John De Armond) writes:

>From: jgd@dixie.com (John De Armond)

>Subject: Re: Ham Radio Outlet incident

>Date: Fri, 12 Mar 93 06:59:49 GMT

>cole@soldev.tti.com (Randy Cole) writes:

>

>>>I'll give you a tip as to why: The HRO store here in Atlanta also uses
>>>non-paid volunteers. What a concept? Letting the kids play in the
>>>toy store.

>

>>What a concept? WHAT A RIPOFF!

>

>>If this is true (note continued skepticism based on gut instince and
>>suppositions), my opinion of HRO just went in the toilet. Let me
>>give a few reasons

>

>[Massive valleygirl-style whine deleted.]

>

>>Yeah, I know, life isn't fair. But nobody forces me to buy from HRO.
>>I've been treated well by HRO in the past. Maybe there are mitigating
>>circumstances that aren't obvious. But if this "volunteer" crap is
>>>true, I'll buy from someone else, thank you.

>

>Know what Randy? I don't give a flying f*ck what you consider to be
>fair. While I'm no fan of HRO and have received generally surly treatment
>from certain of their EMPLOYEES (as opposed to the nice guys who hang around
>on the weekends) at the Atlanta store, I do have to occasionally buy something
>there. I pray that all people such as yourself WILL stay away so that I
>won't have to wait in line to pay and I can face-to-face ragchew with
>the employees and volunteers without them having to feel pressed to wait
>on other customers.

>

>So go ahead, stay away. I highly encourage you to. Other hams who appreciate
>the environment will thank you.

>

>John

>

Whew! What a relief! I was worried that you would care, John.
I was particularly worried that you might strain yourself and come up with
a reasoned reply.

Maybe you'll get better treatment from their employees when HRO Atlanta
quits confusing their business with a hobby and the dingalings who
"volunteer" to work there quit confusing their hobby with a business.

Until then, your prayers have truly been answered and you can rest easy
knowing you won't see me there.

73,

Randy
KN6W

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #312
